

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145 ERCE

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,434	02/10/2000	John S. Lee	510.030US1	3838
	7590 11/12/2003		EXAMI	NER
ATTN: DANIEL J. POLGLAZE			CHU, KIM KWOK	
	Y & POLGLAZE, P.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 581009		ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOĻ	IS, MN 55458-1009		2653	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003	<i>A</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/501,434	LEE ET AL.				
Advisory reason	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kim-Kwok CHU	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 10/30/03 (paper 22) FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>EPLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5 and 28</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,6-8,10-12,29 and 31</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,9,13-19,21-27 and 30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

1. In claims 1, 9, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25, the amended feature "grippping" requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. Applicant states that his amendment of the term from "holding" of disks to "gripping" of disks is to recite the nature of the control of the head over the disk more accurately (page 12 of the Remarks, lines 8-10). However, the gripping feature is a specific form of holding disks and this special ability is not claimed before.

G 11/1/03

Examiner: Kim CHU

AU 2653

(703) 305-3032

WILLIAM KORZUCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600